| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/043,167 | KIM ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Theresa T. Snider | 1744 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | ••• |
| (1) <u>Theresa T. Snider</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Carol L. Druzbick</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 10 March 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | oplicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-10 and 13-22 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet | ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V | VAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separatirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separatid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun | The examiner will provide a way rate record of the substance of | written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl | icant/Applicant's Representative | e Signature – if appropriate) |

Application No. 10/043,167

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Ms. Druzbick of allowability of claims 1-10 and 13-22; Ms. Druzbick agreed to specification change, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to correct a grammatical error; Ms. Druzbick agreed to claim amendments, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to provide for antecedence.